

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 * * *

6 WILLIAM WORKMAN,

Case No. 3:17-cv-00508-MMD-CLB

7 Petitioner,

ORDER

8 v.

9 ISIDRO BACA, *et al.*,

10 Respondents.
11

12 Before the Court are several motions in this *pro se* 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas
13 corpus matter. In Petitioner William Workman's motion for a decision he complained that
14 Respondents had not yet filed an answer to the petition; however, Respondents had
15 sought an extension of time to file an answer. In what Workman styled as a motion for
16 relief (ECF No. 50), he basically asks the Court for clarification regarding the status of his
17 petition. The petition is briefed and will be adjudicated on the merits in due course.

18 It is therefore ordered that Respondents' first and second motions for extension of
19 time to file a response to the petition (ECF Nos. 44, 46) are both granted *nunc pro tunc*.

20 It is further ordered that Petitioner's motion for a decision and motion for relief (ECF
21 Nos. 45, 50) are both denied as set forth in this order.

22 DATED THIS 25th day of February 2020.

23 

24 MIRANDA M. DU
25 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26
27
28